PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

	TENT COOPERAT	ON TREA	ATY
Slati	PCT		
anslation pa	NAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMINA	ATION REPORT
	(PCT Article 36 and	Rule 70)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notific Preliminary	cation of Transmittal of Internat Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/
International application No. PCT/EP2003/003917	International filing date (day/n 15 April 2003 (15.04		Priority date (day/month/year) 19 April 2002 (19.04.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nat C02F 1/50	tional classification and IPC		
Applicant	STADELMANN, He	einz, W.	
This international preliminary examinand is transmitted to the applicant according to the acco	nation report has been prepare cording to Article 36.	d by this Inter	national Preliminary Examining Author
This report is also accompania amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the These annexes consist of a total	this report and/or sheets contra Administrative Instructions un	nning reculic	on, claims and/or drawings which have ations made before this Authority (see
This report contains indications related Basis of the report	ing to the following items:	į.	Bom Carl Sale Street B. B.
I Lack of unity of inv	•	lty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability
III Non-establishment of IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explan VI Certain documents	ention under Article 35(2) with rega ations supporting such statem	٠	Been B Brear a Com D is
III Non-establishment of IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explan VI Certain documents of VII Certain defects in the	ention under Article 35(2) with rega ations supporting such statem	rd to novelty, i ent	tep and industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability
III Non-establishment of IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explan VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the VIII Certain observation	ention under Article 35(2) with regalations supporting such statemented ne international application s on the international applicat	rd to novelty, ent	tep and industrial applicability
III Non-establishment of IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explan VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the Certain observation	ention under Article 35(2) with regalations supporting such statemented ne international application s on the international applicat Date	rd to novelty, ent	tep and industrial applicability inventive step or industrial applicability
III Non-establishment of IV Lack of unity of inv V Reasoned statement citations and explan VI Certain documents VII Certain defects in the VIII Certain observation Date of submission of the demand	under Article 35(2) with regardions supporting such statem cited the international application is on the international application. Date 109.2003)	rd to novelty, ent	tep and industrial applicability inventive step or industrial applicability of this report August 2004 (06.08.2004)

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP2003/003917

I. Basi	s of the report		
1. Wit	h regard to the elements of the internation	al application:*	
	the international application as original	ly filed	
X	the description:		·
	pages	1-26	, as originally filed
	pages		, filed with the demand
ŀ	pages	, filed with the let	
M	the claims:		
	pages	1-16	
1			, as originally filed (together with any statement under Article 19
	pages		, filed with the demand
		, filed with the let	ter of
M	the drawings:	,	
لكا	pages	1/4-4/4	
			, as originally filed , filed with the demand
	pages	filed with the let	ter of, nied with the demand
			ter or
ــا .	the sequence listing part of the description	1.	
	*****		, as originally filed
			, filed with the demand
			ter of ned to this Authority in the language in which
The	the language of a translation furnished f the language of publication of the intern	this Authority in the following language or the purposes of international search (unational application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
3. With	n regard to any nucleotide and/or am minary examination was carried out on the	nino acid sequence disclosed in the abasis of the sequence listing:	international application, the international
	contained in the international application	n in written form.	
닏	filed together with the international appl	ication in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority	in written form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority	in computer readable form.	
	international application as filed has bee	n furnished.	pes not go beyond the disclosure in the
	The statement that the information rec been furnished.	orded in computer readable form is id	lentical to the written sequence listing has
4.	The amendments have resulted in the car	ncellation of:	
	the description, pages		
	the claims, Nos.		·
	the drawings, sheets/fig		
5.	This report has been established as if (so beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicate	me of) the amendments had not been m d in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	nade, since they have been considered to go
* Repla in thi and 7	s report as originally fried and are	to the receiving Office in response to an not annexed to this report since they	ı invitation under Article 14 are referred to do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
** Any r	eplacement sheet containing such amendm	ents must be referred to under item 1 and	d annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/03917

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

			•	
1.	Statement	٠		
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4-9,11-15	YES
		Claims	1-3,10,16	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-16	NO ·
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES
	·	Claims		NO NO

Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE10029082

D2: Römpp Chemie Lexikon, pages 67-68, 4154-4156,

9th edition, 1992, Georg Thieme-Verlag, Stuttgart

D2 was not cited in the international search report. A copy of the document is attached.

2. The application does not satisfy the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claims 10-15 are not clear:

As it is worded, claim 10 appears to relate to a product, yet it refers back to method claim 3. Contrary to PCT Article 6, the intended restrictions are not therefore clear from the claim. The same applies accordingly to dependent claims 11-15.

3. The subject matter of claims 1-3, 10 and 16 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)):

D1 discloses a method for producing a sterilisation system wherein the surface of a noble metal is chemically etched, the noble metal being silver which is in the form of a base material comprising wire, wool or gauze (cf. D1,

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

- page 2, lines 48-54 and fig. 1-3). For a person skilled in the art the use of an oxidative acid such as nitric acid is therefore <u>implicitly</u> disclosed, the reasons being as follows:
- (i) The first claim of the present application contains in very general form only the method steps "acid oxidation of a noble metal surface" and "treatment in an aqueous salt solution". D1 discloses the chemical etching of an elemental silver surface (D1, page 2, lines 48-49). This is considered to be equivalent to "acid oxidation", since etching is generally defined as "modifying the surface of materials by the application of dissolving liquid or gaseous, chemically aggressive compounds" (D2, page 67, key word "Etching"). Furthermore, it is generally known that elemental silver (not just any oxide layer) is attacked chemically only by oxidising acids (cf. D2, pages 4154-4156, key word "Silver").
- (ii) The fact that D1 is concerned with the removal of passivation layers does not conflict with this, since the etching process also involves dissolution of the <u>existing</u> passivation layer. This does not rule out the formation of, for example, a silver nitrate layer when nitric acid is used as etchant.

Consequently, D1 is considered to be prejudicial to the novelty of claims 1-3, 10 and 16. If these claims were to be worded clearly, this might lead to the acknowledgement of formal novelty in respect of the subject matter of the application. However, there would still be doubt as to the involvement of an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) (combination of D1 and D2).

4. Dependent claims 4-9 and 11-15 contain only optional

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/EP 03/03917

features which do not contribute directly to the solution of the problem addressed by the present application (page 5, fifth paragraph). The PCT inventive step requirements are not therefore satisfied (PCT Article 33(3)).